



APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPLY FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW (0.53, R.3)

IN THE GRAND COURT OF THE GAYMAN ISLANDS
IN THE MATTER OF THE DECISION OF THE IMMIGRATION
APPEALS TRIBUNAL



CAUSE NO. G0397 OF 2012

BETWEEN

VINTON LEOPOLD WRIGHT

APPLICANT/APPELLANT

AND

IMMIGRATION APPEALS TRIBUNAL RESPONDENT

To the Clerk of the Court of Law, Law Courts, George Town, Grand Cayman

Name, address and description of applicant

Vinton Leopold Wright, of 10 Aspen Lane, Smith Road, George Town, Grand Cayman

Judgment, order, decision or other proceeding in respect of which relief is sought

The decision of the Immigration Appeals Tribunal ("Tribunal") on 8 August 2012 dismissing the appeal of the Applicant against the Caymanian Status & Permanent Residency Board in refusing to grant permanent residency to the Applicant.

Relief Sought

A declaration that the Tribunal erred in law in not considering the evidence on the points systems adequately
A declaration that the decision of the Tribunal was against public interest because the Applicant had a legitimate expectation to be favorably considered despite the lack of points granted to him through the point system.

Name and address of Applicant's Attorney, or if no attorneys acting, the address for service of the applicant

H. Phillip Ebanks, Attorney-at-Law of A4 Queen's Court, West Bay Road, Grand Cayman, KY1-1202, Cayman Islands

Signed

Dated 24th day of September 2012

GROUND ON WHICH RELIEF IS SOUGHT

This Judicial Review is sought pursuant to section 17 of the Immigration Law (2011 Revision)

DECISION AGAINST WHICH THE APPEAL WAS MADE

On 8 August 2012, the Tribunal reviewed the Notice of Appeal dated 23 April 2009 including letter dated 10 May 2010. It noted that no grounds of appeal had been made out pursuant to sections 15 (2) and 16 (4) of the Immigration Law (2011 Revision). The Appeal was dismissed accordingly.

REASONS FOR DECISION

That no grounds of appeal had been made out pursuant to sections 15 (2) and 16 (4) of the Immigration Law (2011 Revision).

GROUND OF APPEAL

1. That the Tribunal erred in law in not considering the evidence on the points systems adequately.
2. That the decision of the Tribunal was against public interest because the Applicant had a legitimate expectation to be favorably considered despite the lack of points granted to him through the point system.
3. Supplementary grounds to be filed on receipt of the notes of evidence.